

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/635,101	Applicant(s) MEYER, MICHAEL T.	
	Examiner Gregory D. Thompson	Art Unit 2835	

All Participants:

(1) Gregory D. Thompson.

(2) Mr. Browning.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 November 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

Claims 1 and 2

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 1 language of "means for " changed to " each muluti-level...surface textures" to clear up confusing claim language with respect to the language of "means for" and claim 2 . Claim 2 is now canceled.Note: Exr's Amt.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)